

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------|
| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | 279.347US2 | 8025 |
| Ť | 10/687,433 | 10/16/2003 | James Kalgren | 219.547052 | |
| | | 7590 06/21/2004 AN, LUNDBERG, WO | UNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. MANUEL, GEORGE C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | EXAMINER | |
| | | | | MANUEL, GEORGE C | |
| | P.O. BOX 29 | 38 | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | MINNEAPOLIS | LIS, MN 55402 | | 3762 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 10/687,433 | KALGREN ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | George Manuel | 3762 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati | on appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | |
| Pariod for Renly | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica* - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data* - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. RANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o | n | | | |
| 2h) | ☑ This action is non-tinal. | | | |
| ov Cinco this application is in condition for | allowance except for formal ma | itters, prosecution as to the ments is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice | under <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction | withdrawn from consideration. | - | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objecti | on to the drawing(s) be held in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| | ac correction is required if the draw | ing(s) is objected to: odd at | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to | oj uno anominioni i i i i | • | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | FO-948) Paper | iew Summary (PTO-413) · No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/03. | PTO/SB/08) | : | | |

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,558. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed toward obvious variations of collecting data and correlating the data for an implantable cardiac rhythm management device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/687,433

Art Unit: 3762

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-4, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Snell et al '937.

Snell et al disclose a communication network comprising telemetry head 4, and a plurality of sensors in the pacemaker 2 for sensing P or R waves, atrial or ventricular pulses generated by the cardiac pacemaker, and the start and stop of the refractory period for the atrium or ventricle.

Data correlation comprises interpreting means 6 and a processor comprising controller 14 and a memory 16. Display 26 correlates first heart signal data with second time data. See Fig. 5 and Fig. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,433

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 5-8,17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snell et al '937 in view of Spivey et al '556.

Snell et al show all of the claimed features except for transmitting the data from the pacemaker 2 to telemetry head 4 over a telephone system or a global computer network.

Spivey et al teach monitoring a pacemaker over a telephone system or a global computer network. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the network pacemaker telemetry teaching of Spivey et al to monitor the pacemaker 2 of Snell et al because the teaching of Spivey et al applies to an equivalent pacemaker of the type disclosed in Snell et al..

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snell et al '937 in view of Younce et al '404.

Snell et al show all of the claimed features except for using hatching or a change in color to represent a change occurring in data.

Younce et al teach using an indicator included within ECG data that changes color with pacing width. Since the data shown in the Snell et al reference is directed toward ECG data, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use hatching or a change in color to represent a change in the data associated with the ECG traces of Fig. 5 and Fig.6

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (703) 308-2118.

George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762

6/17/04